Preserve

App F IX-4

Previous References 1930-31 (Mel)

File No. 25-30

Serial Nos. 43, 46 & 47

PRESERVED

Later References
LB 3-31 - (22, 23, 25, 32)
Mel 14-33 (12, 15, 44, 45, 46)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos ~~Two~~ Three

Part B for Oct '31

SUBJECT

Misappropriation of Rs 10/- by Mr T. K Devaraja ~~Mr~~ Pillai, Sanitary Inspector, Cooperh

---

46. To The Park Rlwe Dh Bd
The D.C Blore
The Dr of Health Mysore
The Comptr
The Rly Commrs.
The Secy-Central Recruitment Board

No L 2575-20 Dt 3-10-31

Directs that T.K Devaraj Pillai, be suspended for a period of two years

1624

App F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ml File No 25 of 1930.

Serial No	
43	Letter No. C2-451/30-31 dated 29-4-31 from the D C Bangalore forwarding letter No A12-34/30-31 dated 11-4-31 from the President, Dt Board, Bangalore submitting a report on the misappropriation of Rs 10 by Mr. T K Devaraja Pillai, Sanitary Inspector, Closepet, out of the collections in the Travellers' Bungalow at Bidadi.
	The facts of the case have been explained in detail by the President, Dt Board, Bangalore in his letter dated 11-4-31 and the fact that the official has misappropriated money has been proved. ~~by the President.~~
	2 The other question of the purchase of certain lands by questionable means by the same official when he was Sanitary Inspector at Closepet on behalf of Mr. V Krishnaswamy Pillai Amildar, is being worked up separately in the Revenue Branch and the explanation of the Amildar has been called for.
	3 Kind reference is invited to para 4 of the President's report in which he quotes some instances where the character of the official has been suspected. Reference is also made.

Vide linked file put up

× flag W.—

× flag D

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

624
2

ML File No 25 of 1930 | General and Revenue Secretariat

flag E

to para 8 of the ~~Report~~ report where the President recommends that the official may be awarded a suitable punishment departmentally. The Deputy Commissioner in agreeing with the views of the Dt Board President, recommends that the official be suspended for one year ~~Submitted~~ Submitted with reference to Member's minute in para 6 of the linked file.

RMM 4/8

4 The President has recommended that the Sanitary Inspector may be awarded a suitable punishment departmentally & the amount of Rs to be recovered from him. The Dy Comr agrees with the Pres and has recommended that the official be suspended for one year. The Dy Comr's recommendation may be allowed. Submitted for orders.

Rev B/file LR 457-30 ctd on 13.8.31.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT - AUG 1931 No. 960

Gen Secy

5.8.31

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER UNCIL 8 AUG 1931 No. 1558

II Nd Member RR 7/8/31

5 If the misappropriation is proved the man deserves dismissal, not prosecution. What then of the official? Is not the Dt competent to deal with him?

9/8/31 Hm 10/8

Serial No

6

As per information furnished in and connection, the pay of the official on 31 5·30 was Rs 35/- p m

The official is not a Govt servant lent to the local bodies and has no permt appt in any of the Govt Depts under the D C. The D.C therefore does not appear to be competent to deal with the case The official is now a Dairy Supvr working in the Doddballapur Taluk and the offence or irregularity reported was committed by him while he was employed under the Bangalore D Bd

Subt with refce to Member's minute dy 9 8·31

MB 15/8 ? This appears to be a clear case of misappropriation Vide para 8 of Dt Bd President's letter dy 11·7·1931.

2nd Member) VS 15.8.3

8 What is the nature of his appt in Doddballapur — adhoc or permanent — who is the appointing authority ?

SP 15/8

9 The appointing authority is the municipality. VS 17/8

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 15 AUG 1931 No. 1673

NOTF—Contn Sheet

may by rules framed under sec 46 (e) of the said Regn and subject to the rules framed by Govt in this behalf, delegate the power to any officer or servant to the Presdt, the V.P. or any other individual

No information is available as regards the nature of the appt held by the off in Doddaballapur - Draft enquiring subd

MB 20/8 10 J. K. Devaraja Pillai is now working as municipal Sanitary Inspector in the Doddaballapur municipality. Previous to this he worked in the Devanhalli municipality having been transferred to that place on 35-3-50. from Closepet his original permanent place being on Rs 35 in grade rising to Rs 87. under the Dt. Board

Gen. Secy)

21.8.31

GENERAL SECRETARY. 21 AUG 1931 No 1134

4 If the Dy C^r cannot pass orders himself he can ask the Mal. Commr to deal with the case See 173 I.C. Mc Regn

21/8

II Member) 12) I don't think 173, 1(c) will apply. Punishment if any must be accorded by Govt.

381 - 28/8

Serial No

under their general powers
Please bring this up
Gen Secy)
28/8

GENERAL SECRETARY
31 AUG 1931

13 It is better to deal with the case here instead of referring it to the D.C. the mans conduct is very reprehensible & he no doubt deserves dismissal. In view however of the circumstances stated by the D.C, he may be suspended for a period of two years
3/9
3-9
4.9.31

14 Draft submitted
5/9
Gen Secy)
7.9.31

GENERAL SECRETARY
7 SEP 1931
No 1518

15 The O/S is not satisfactory
As to Kandy bring up
4-9.

16 Please put up a new draft as instructed
11.9.31

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 02553—G P B—50,000—8 7 30

1,244/1

HC File No 25 — of 1930 | Secr

17) A draft is put up

12-9-31 Please put up a typed draft

VS 12.9.31

Typed draft put up

16-9-31 Gen Secy) VS 17.9.31

GENERAL SECRETARY. 17 SEP 1931 No 2086

From (Tue 27/9) VS

TYPING SECTION 28 SEP 1931 GEN & REV SECTT

To

The President, Bangalore Dist. Bd 46
" Dy: Comm: Bangalore Dist
" Director of Health in Mysore
" Comptroller to Govt
" Rev: Comm in Mysore
" Secy: Central Recruitment Bd

No: L 25/5-20 of 3.10 31

Directs that T. K. Devaraja Pillai, Sanitary Inspector be suspended for a period of two years.

B

TYPING SECTION 13 OCT. 1931 GEN & REV SECTT

Noted & returning the connected records is sent herewith Letter No. L3025 Issued

(Illegible) 10/10 22.10.31

In all future communications please quote
No Ca-451/30 31
Enc One report, one acquittance & file as Per phenst

Tel Address —"DEPCOM"
Post Box No 34

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated, Bangalore, the 27th July *1931*

M125-30-43

FROM

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

GEN & REV. SECTT
624
1 AUG 1931
L. S. G. BRANCH

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
JULY 1931
General

To

Rao Bahadur M. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

317·31

SIR,

Subject —

In continuation of my letter No.Ca-451/30-31 dated 17-12-30 I have the honour to forward herewith the records of enquiry held by the President, District Board, into the conduct of T.K. Devaraja Pillai, Sanitary Inspector, regarding the misappropriation by him of Rs.10/- being the Bidadi T.B. collections for June 1930, received with the President's letter No.A12-34/30-31 dated 11-7-1931 addressed to Government and to state that I agree with the President, District Board that it is sufficient to take Departmental notice of the conduct of the Sanitary Inspector in view of the fact that he is still young and has a career.

But having regard to the seriousness of the offence, an exemplary punishment is, I consider, necessary and I accordingly recommend that T.K. Devaraja Pillai, who is now working as Municipal Sanitary Inspector in Dodballapur be suspended for one year.

I solicit early orders of Government in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K V Anantaraman

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

SB/-

Confidential

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD

No A 12-34/30-31 Bangalore 11th July 1931.
Encl one file & a register as per thirist

From

V VENKATAPPA, ESQ.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
BANGALORE

To

R. Ranga Rao Esqr, B. A., B. L.,
General Secretary to the Government,
B a n g a l o r e.

Through:-
SIR, The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

With reference to your letter No. L. 8480 dated 14th April 1931 calling for a report about the misappropriation of Rs. 10/- by T. K. Devaraj Pillai, while he was the Sanitary Inspector at Closepet, I have the honour to inform you that as desired by the Deputy Commissioner, I held a detailed enquiry into the matter in April 1931.

2. Caretaker Hanumanthaiah of Bidadi Travellers' Bungalow deposes that he took the Travellers' Bungalow register on 30th June 1930 along with the collections of the month of June 1930 viz., Rs. 11-14-0 entered on a slip of paper to the Sanitary Inspector, as usual, to Closepet for remittance and gave it to Devaraj Pillai, Sanitary Inspector when the Office clerk was present in the office; that the Sanitary Inspector took the book, the chits and the money and directed him to go away saying that he would send the book after remittance. that as usual, the Caretaker left everything with the Sanitary Inspector and returned to Bidadi; that the Travellers' Bungalow Register which was given to the Sanitary Inspector on 31st May 1930, was returned back to him on 25th June 1930 and it was again sent to the Sanitary Inspector on 30th June 1930 and was returned by the Sanitary Inspector on 8th July 1930 personally at Bidadi, with instructions to the caretaker

FROM

THE PRESIDENT,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

TO

DATED

DESPATCHED

RECEIVED

GENERAL NO _____
NO

ENCLOSURE NO

caretaker not to show it to others. On 9th July 1930, as soon as the caretaker opened the book, he saw the slip given by him pasted to the book and he showed it to his people who informed him that Rs. 1-14-0 has been credited to Treasury. Being afraid, he immediately went to Closepet to inform the Sanitary Inspector whom he found absent and was returning;. He met the Sanitary Inspector Hyder Ali Khan on the road and informed him that out of Rs. 11-14-0 given by him to the Sanitary Inspector for remittance on 30th June 1930 only Rs. 1-14-0 is shown as credited and Rs. 10/- has not been credited, on which the Sanitary Inspector Hyder Ali Khan told him that he would look into the matter. Meanwhile the District Board President casually came to the Bidadi Travellers' Bungalow on the evening of 12th July 1930 and the caretaker informed the President of all what had happened and gave a written statement also. (He has undergone cross examination by Sanitary Inspector Devaraj Pillai and has deposed that he gave the register and slips along with the money to the Sanitary Inspector who received the money, the chits and books and said that he would send the books later on) The caretaker further says that it was customary to take the books back when he went for receiving his pay every month and that when the book was not with him it was customary to have the receipts noted on slips of paper and get the amount entered and credited and keep back the chits. He says such chits are with him even now. He adds in his petitions that he was asked by the Sanitary Inspector to look after his lands at Sanamangala as he was doing before and not to neglect it, consequent on his transfer, to which he pleaded inability; that the Sanitary Inspector Devaraj Pillai asked him to pay Rs. 15/- failing which he threatened to see that this misappropriation case is turned against him.

He says that when he gave the money to the Sanitary

Sanitary Inspector, there were none present there except the office clerk.

3. The Sanitary Inspector Devaraj Pillai has offered his explanation on four different occasions viz., on 10th August 1930, on 8th September 1930 - to the Taluk Authorities at Devanahalli, on 24th October 1930 before the - Deputy Commissioner and on 25th April 1931 before me. He was afforded opportunity to look into the records he wanted and cross examined others. The Sanitary Inspector - deposes as follows:-

'The book and the money were brought to him by the caretaker on 30th June 1930, when he saw the slips pasted in the Travellers' Bungalow register. He did not suspect anything,. He was in a hurry to see the President who was expected that day. He calculated the amount, checked and attested his signature in the Travellers' Bungalow register in red ink as it was handy and asked the Clerk to credit the money. Before the Deputy Commissioner, in reply to Question No. 2 on 24th October 1930, he says he did not suspect anything against the caretaker, but in his statement before me he says that he suspected his action in having receipts written up on slips and pasted in the book and that he found out it was an irregularity and scolded the caretaker; but that he did not report the matter. He requests that he should not be imagined to have committed such an offence; that he was in a confusion owing to his transfer and that he should not be made responsible for this fault and that no one bore any enmity against him etc.

4. Sanitary Inspector Hyder Ali Khan has deposed that the caretaker informed him of this short remittance soon

soon after he took charge of the Taluk, while he was returning inspecting a road work. That he told the caretaker that he would enquire into the matter after looking into the register. Meanwhile the matter was reported to the President by the caretaker himself and as such he did not make a separate report. He has been cross examined by Devaraj Pillai and some questions which have no bearing on the case have been put.

5. From the statement recorded, the following facts can be inferred.

"That it was habitual to have the receipts noted on slips of paper whenever the book was not available. That the Sanitary Inspector was in the habit of keeping the register with him and returning the same when he liked or when the peon came for the receipt of his pay. The caretaker could not have pasted the slips in question to the book when there were entries on both sides, and made bold to produce it before the Sanitary Inspector if he had really done so, he would not have been in a frenzy to report the matter immediately. By a perusal of the way in which the entries are scored out it can be easily inferred that it has been done by an intelligent man who knows English. I see no reason to disbelieve the statement of the caretaker.

The statements of the Sanitary Inspector Devaraj Pillai are self contradictory. Once he says he did not suspect any mischief and at another time he says he suspected and scolded the care-taker. The explanations offered by him appear to be cooked up ones and not facts. As a responsible official it was his duty to immediately enquire into the matter, verify it and report to higher authorities. This was not a very big or contested record and there were not a number of entries which could have taken a long time

time for verification. He could have done it in a couple of minutes and if he had already suspected anything, and if he had not a hand in it, he could have verified it then and there and handed the caretaker to the police immediately This is a matter concerning money and Government officials cannot be expected to be indifferent. No better business is expected of them. The reasoning that he was in a hurry and confusion to see the President regarding his transfer cannot be believed. Evidently, he has failed in his duty. Perhaps with the intention of profitting himself and thinking that his action would not be suspected and found out especially when he handed over charge, he might have scored out the entries, pasted the slip and endorsed the collections as only Re.1-14-0 that too in red ink thus pocketting Rs. 10/-.

6. I have considered if there is any circumstance which may exonerate the Sanitary Inspector T. K. Devaraj Pillai. I have given him sufficient opportunity to explain himself and cross examine the parties. I have purposely delayed the enquiry and submission of the report to find out the truth by confidential local enquiries and also as to the character of the official. He was taken into the District Board service from the Madhugiri Municipality about the year 1928 and he was posted to the Closepet Taluk for work. The oftener I visit the Taluk, the more I come in contact with the vagaries he has played and the fraud he has committed in the discharge of his duties. When weighed with the gravity of the offences he has committed and brought to light in the execution of public works entrusted to him during the short period he has served in the Taluk, this misappropriation of Rs. 10/- is nothing.

(contd)

7. I quote below a few more instances to suspect his character:-

(a) Well Work for Adikarnatakas at Danayakanapur, Closepet Taluk:-

Estimate provides for a depth of 30 feet. Actual depth when examined was only 26 feet. The Sanitary Inspector has given measurement for 28 feet and payment also made. The work was not checked by the President or Vice President. No water in the well. So, it is very apparent that he has given false measurements and duped the District Board by being a party for extra payment for about two feet depth of work. There is no water in the well besides. The whole money is nothing but a waste.

(b) Ramagiri Hill Road:-

The work was sanctioned for Rs. 372/- during 1927-28. The Sanitary Inspector was instructed as in other cases to entrust the work to some reliable contractor. A certain portion of the work was done by the Contractor. Before the work was finished, the work had been entrusted to another contractor without settling the claims of the old contractor. Thereby confusion is created. Both the parties are demanding money. There are no records to show what portions of work are done by each man. It was the duty of the Sanitary Inspector who was in charge of the work to take correct measurements of the original contractor's work before he entrusted the work to another contractor. So much so, the money is wasted, the parties are suffering. It is difficult to hold any man responsible for the bad work turned out, further work is at a stand still and opportunity is afforded for people to loose confidence in District Board.

Mayaganahalli Vadavatta Road Work:-

An estimate was first prepared for repairing a length of 3 miles and 6 furlongs out of the whole bit. Actually 17 furlongs were worked out for the sanctioned amount. Further the Sanitary Inspector ought to have submitted a fresh estimate for continuation from the 18th furlong. Whereas the Sanitary Inspector had sent an estimate for continuing the road from the 21st furlong and got sanction last year. The middle portion of 4 furlongs in the road has been left out. The date of the final bill of the first estimate was 1st March 1930. The date of submission of the 2nd estimate was 7th March 1930. As the Sanitary Inspector has submitted the second estimate after the close of the work according to the first estimate, he should have known from which furlong further work had to be commenced. This betrays utter indifference in his work and in regard to public funds. This ought to have been committed by him with some set purpose.

8. On a due consideration of all the facts, I am constrained to come to the conclusion that the Sanitary Inspector T. K. Devaraj Pillai has misappropriated the sum of Rs. 10/- with a view to dupe the District Board and is liable for being prosecuted in a court of law. It is detrimental to Government work and efficiency if such officials continue in service. He cannot be depended upon and it is unsafe to entrust him with any responsible work. Though the offence is a grave one and should not be left unpunished, in view of the fact that it is a small amount that is involved, I recommend that he may be awarded a suitable punishment departmentally and the amount of Rs. 10/- recovered from him and ordered to be credited to the District Fund.

9. As for the caretaker, he was not right in allowing the book to remain with the Sanitary Inspector and in having the receipts noted on slips of paper. But he is an illiterate man and a menial and therefore helpless against his superiors. He was only acting according to long established custom. I have however warned him that if he on any future occasion allows the money to be entered on slips of paper his services would be summarily dispensed with. A circular order will also issue to all Sanitary Inspectors in the District not to allow the books of Travellers' Bungalows to be removed from the respective buildings except on an appointed day for purposes of remittances, and that all receipts must be duly entered in the register and not obtained on - separate slips of paper.

10. I request the favour of early orders of Government in the matter. As the Sanitary Inspector T. K. Devaraj Pillai is not under the District Board service now, I have requested the Deputy Commissioner to kindly pass orders as suggested in para 8 supra.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Venkatappa

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

Proceedings of the Govt. of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 3-10-'31
Signed by Mr V S Sastri

Read -

Correspondence ending with letter No. C_2 451/30-31 dated 29th July 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, forwarding with the connected records, a report of the enquiry held by the President, District Board, Bangalore, in April 1931 about the alleged misappropriation of a sum of Rs.10 being the Bidadi, T.B. collections, for June 1930 while he was ~~committed~~ by T.A. Devaraj Pillai, Sanitary Inspector at Closepet.

Order No. L 25752/20 | M 125-30-46

Dated, Bangalore, 3/10/1931.

During the enquiry held by the President, Hanumanthiah, care-taker of Bidadi, T.B., deposed that he took the T.B. register and a sum of Rs.11-14-0 collected during June 1930 (entered as usual on slips of paper) on 30th June 1930 to the Sanitary Inspector Devaraj Pillai at Closepet for remittance. The Sany. Inspector took the chits and the money and asked the care-taker to go away saying that the book would be returned after - remittance. The book was personally returned to the care-taker by the Sanitary Inspector on 9th July 1930 at Bidadi, when it was found that a sum of Rs.1-14-0 only had been credited to the Treasury instead of Rs.11-14-0 paid to him. The care-taker reported this to the

new Sany. Inspector, Hyder Ali Khan, and also to the President of the Dist. Board who casually had come to Bidadi T.B. on 12th July 1931.

2. The Sany. Inspector, Devaraj Pillai has deposed before the Deputy Commissioner on 24th October 1930 that the Book and money were brought to him on 30th June 1930 by the care-taker whom he did not suspect, that he pasted the slips in the register, checked and calculated the amount and put his signature in a hurry and asked the clerk to credit the money, but in his statement before the President, he has deposed that he suspected the caretaker and found fault with him for having the receipts written up on slips. His two statements are ~~true~~ inconsistent.

3. From the statement made by the care-taker and others it is clear that it was usual to have the receipts written up on slips when the T.B.Register was not available as the Sany. Inspector used to keep the book with him for any length of time and return it only when he liked, that the care-taker could not have pasted the slips in the register and that the corrections made in the Register concerned have been done only by an intelligent man knowing English.

4. Certain other instances of giving false measurements and improper handling of District Fund works have also been reported, where the Sany. Inspector's character is suspected.

5.

5. After a due consideration of all the facts of the case, the President has come to the conclusion that the Sanitary Inspector, Devaraj Pillay, has misappropriated Rs.10 after scoring out the entries and pasted the slips in the register with the endorsement that a sum of Rs. 1-14-0 has been collected, and that he is liable to prosecution and should not ordinarily be continued in Service. But in view, however, of the smallness of the amount the President has recommended that the Sany. Inspector may be awarded suitable departmental punishment and that the amount of Rs.10/- misappropriated be recovered from him.

6. The Deputy Commissioner in forwarding the report agrees with the President and recommends that in view of the fact that the Sany Inspector is still young and has a career, it will be enough to take departmental notice of his conduct, but having regard to the seriousness of the offence he considers that exemplary punishment is called for. He has accordingly recommended that the official who is now working as Municipal Sany. Inspector at Doiballapur may be suspended for one year.

7. After a consideration of all aspects of the case, Govt. consider that the official's conduct is very reprehensible and that he deserves dismissal. In view, however, of the circumstances stated

by the Deputy Commissioner, Govt. direct that the official be suspended for a period of two years. The amount misappropriated should be recovered from him and credited to the District Funds.

17.9.31 Genl. Secy. to Govt.,

To

The President, D.B.Bangalore, through the Deputy Commissioner.

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore.

The Director of Health.

The Comptroller to Govt.

The Revenue Commissioner

The Secretary, J.R.B.

Copy to Rev. Branch.

ACH. 16/9

Extra

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read --

Correspondence ending with letter No. C.2. 451/30-31, dated 29th July 1931, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, forwarding with the connected records, a report of the enquiry held by the President, District Board, Bangalore, in April 1931 about the alleged misappropriation of a sum of Rs. 10/- being the Bidadi T.B.collections for June 1930 by T.K. Devaraj Pillai while he was Sanitary Inspector at Closepet.

Order No. L 2515-20/MC 25-30-46

Bangalore, dated the ~~8th~~ 30th September 1931.

During the enquiry held by the President, Hanumanthiah, c re-taker of Bidadi Travellers Bungalow deposed that he took the Travellers' Bungalow register and a sum of Rs. 11-14-0 collected during June 1930 (entered as usual on slips of paper) on 30th June 1930 to the Sanitary Inspector Devaraj Pillai at Closepet for remittance. The Sanitary Inspector took the chits and the money and asked the care-taker to go away saying that the book would be returned after remittance. The book was personally returned to the c re-t ker by the Sanitary Inspector on 9th July 1930 at Bidadi, when it was found th t a sum of Rs. 1-14-0 only had been credited to the Treasury instead of Rs. 11-14-0 paid to him. The care-taker reported this to the new Sanitary Inspector, Hyder Ali Khan, and also to the President of the District Board who casually had come to Bidadi Travellers Bungalo on 12th July 1931.

2. The Sanitary Inspector, Devaraj Pillai has deposed before the Deputy Commissioner on 24th October 1930 that the book an money were brought to him on 30th June 1930 by the care-taker whom he did not suspect, that he pasted the slips in the register, chocked and c lcula ted the amount and put his signature in a hurry and asked the clerk to

credit the money, but in his statement before the President, he has deposed that he suspected the care-taker and found fault with him for having the receipts written up on slips. His two statements are inconsistent.

3. From the statement made by the care-taker and others it is clear that it was usual to have the receipts written up on slips when the Travellers Bungalow register was not available as the Sanitary Inspector used to keep on the book with him for any length of time and return it only when he liked, that the care-taker could not have pasted the slips in the register and that the corrections made in the register concerned could have been done only by an intelligent man knowing English.

4. Certain other instances of giving false measurements and improper handling of District Fund works have also been reported, where the Sanitary Inspector's character is suspected.

5. After a due consideration of all the facts of the case, the President has come to the conclusion that the Sanitary ~~Xxx~~ Inspector, Devaraj Pillai, has misappropriated Rs. 10/- after scoring out the entries and pasted the slips in the register with the endorsement that a sum of Rs. 1-14-0 has been collected, and that he is liable to prosecution and should not be continued in service. But in view, however, of the smallness of the amount the President has recommended that the Sanitary Inspector may be awarded suitable departmental punishment and that the amount of Rs. 10/- misappropriated be received from him.

6. The Deputy Commissioner in forwarding the report agrees with the President and recommends that in view of the fact that the Sanitary Inspector is still young and has a career, it will be enough to take departmental notice of his conduct, but having regard to the seriousness of the offence he considers that exemplary punishment is called for. He has accordingly recommended that the official who is now working as Municipal Sanitary Inspector at Godballapur may be suspended for one year.

7. After a consideration of all aspects of the case, - -

Appendix F G–3]

SUBJECT

Section Ml
File No 25 - 30
Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue

Signed by

May this be

i printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English Part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Read Correspondence ending with letter no C2 451/30-31 Dt 29.7.31 from the D C Bangalore Dt forwarding (with) the records (a report) of (the) enquiry held (in April 31) by the Presd DB Bangalore (and) the alleged misapprop^n of a sum of Rs 101-, being the Bidadi DB. Collections for June '30, Committed by D K. Devaraja Pillai, Sanitary Supervisor

Order Thereon No

Order no 2

The Presd DB Bangalore who held a detailed enquiry into the matter has, on a due consideration of all the facts, come to the conclusion that the Sanitary Supervisor has misappropriate

the sum of Rs.1/61 referred to above/a is liable to prosecution in a Court of law, but in view of the fact that the amt involved is small, he states that the off may be awarded suitable Dept al punishment. The D.C. in forwarding the report agrees with the Hrd that, in view of the fact that the Samy Insp[r] is still young & has a career, it would be enough to take dept[al] notice of his conduct but having regard to the seriousness of the offence he considers that exemplary punishment is called for & recommends that the off, who is now working as ml Samy Insp[r] at Dodballapur, may be suspended for one year.

After a consideration of all aspects of the case, Govt observe that the off's conduct is very reprehensible & that he deserves dismissal. In view, however, of the circs stated by the D.C., Govt direct that he may be suspended for a period of ~~two~~ years The amt misapd from him & credited to the Govt may be recovered

7.9.31

To The Hon'ble D.B. Bangalore thro the D.C
The D.C Bangalore with records
The Director of Health
The Comptroller

RC in triplicate
Secs CR.B. copy to Rev. Br

Appendix F G–3]

SUBJECT To be typed copies as above yes

Section Ml

File No 25-30

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to L 624

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue

Signed by

May this be

i printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English Part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Read— Correspondence ending with letter No C2 457/30-31 Dy 29.7.31 from the Dy Commissioner, Bangalore Dist forwarding connected with the records a report of enquiry held by the President Dist Board, Bangalore in April 1931 about the alleged misappropriation of a sum of Rs 10/- being the Bidadi, T B collections for June 1930, while he was ~~Order Thereon No~~ Committed by T K Devaraj Pillai, Sany Inspector at Closepet

Order No L Dy

During From the enquiry held by the President ~~who deposed~~ it is ~~seen that~~ (Care-taker) Hanumanthiah, deposed that he of Bidadi, T B took the T.B. register and a sum of Rs 11-14-0 collected during June 30 (entered as usual on slips of paper) on

- on 30-6-30 to the Samy Insp. Devaraj Pillai at Closed- for remittance - The Samy Insp. took the chits and the money and asked the care-taker to go away saying that the book would be returned after remittance - The book was personally returned to the care-taker by the Samy Inspector on 8-7-30 at Bidadi when it was found that a sum of Rs 1-14-0 only had been credited to the Treasury instead of Rs 11-14-0 paid to him. The care-taker reported this to the now Samy Inspector, Nyder Ali Khan and also to the President of the Dist Board who casually had come to Bidadi T.B on 12-7-31 ---

2 ~~The explanation of~~ The Samy Inspector Devaraj Pillai ~~for~~ has accepted before the Dy Comr on 24-10-30 that the Book and money were brought to him on 30-6-30 by the care-taker ~~on 30-6-30~~ when he did not suspect that he pasted the slips in the register, checked, & calculated the amount and put his signature in a hurry and asked the clerk to credit the money, but in his statement before the President, he has deposed that he suspected the caretaker & found fault with him for having the receipts written up on slips. His statements are thus inconsistent.

3 From the statement made by the care-taker, & others it is clear that it was usual to have the receipts written up on slips when the T B Reg[r] Book was not available, as the Samy Inspector used to keep the Book for any length of time with him & return it only when he liked, & that the care-taker could not have pasted the slips in the register & that the ~~corrections~~ connections made in the Reg[r] could have been done only by an intelligent man known, English-

4 ~~After~~ a due consideration of all the facts of the case the President has come to the conclusion that the Sanitary Inspector, Devaraj Pillai has misappropriated Rs 10/- & certain other instances of grossly false encashments & improper handing

The Director of Public Health has also been requested where the Samy Insp's character & Efficiency

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Ml
File No 25-30
Serial No 47

Diary No of letter replied to 624/L

No L 3028 Encl Records

To The Dy Comm^r
Revenue District

Sir I am directed to
return herewith the
records relating to
the misappropriation
of Rs 10/- by T. K. Devarajan
Pillai received under
cover of your letter No:
C2-451/30-31 dy the 27/29
Aug 1931

I have etc.,

MM 10/10
for Gen Secy to Govt

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 193

Date of issue 22/10

Signed by Mr V S Sastry

List of enclosures

Copied by

(DPC) Gbs

Examined by

MM 10/10/31

WD 71—GPBM—40 000—20 7-31

7 After a consideration of all aspects of the case, Govt observe that the officials conduct is very reprehensible & that he deserves dismissal. In view, however, of the circumstances stated by the Dy Comr Govt direct that the ~~he~~ officer be suspended for a period of two years. The amount misappropriated should be recovered from him & credited to the Dest Funds

12/9/31 For Gen Secy

To 17/12/31
The President, D B Bangalore
through the D C.

The D C Bangalore with records
The Director of Health
The Comptroller
R C in Mysore
Secy. C R B
Copy to Rev Br

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Ml
File No 25-30
Serial No 47

Diary No of letter replied to 624/L

No L 3028 Encl Records

To The Dy Comm

Revenue District

Sir. I am directed to

forward herewith the

records relating to

the mis-appropriation

of Rs 10/- by T. K. Devaraju

Pillai, received under

with cover of your letter No

C2-451/30-31 dt the 27th

July 1931. I have etc

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 22/10

Signed by Mr V S Sastry

MM 10/10

for Gen Secy to Govt

List of enclosures

Copied by

(Dft) MM

Examined by

MM 10/10/31

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20 7 31

L 3025 Bne Record
Jud 25-3047

H.V.Ramaswami, Esq., M.A.,B L.,
General

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

I am directed to return herewith the records received with your letter No.C.2-451/30-31 dated the 27/29th July 1931 relating to the misappropriatio of Rs.10 by T.K.Devaraju Pillai.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V Subrahmanya Sastri

For General Secretary to
Government.